

STABILITY OF SHEAVES OF LOCALLY CLOSED AND EXACT FORMS

XIAOTAO SUN

ABSTRACT. For any smooth projective variety X of dimension n over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$ with $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$. If $T^\ell(\Omega_X^1)$ ($0 < \ell < n(p-1)$) are semi-stable, then the sheaf B_X^1 of exact 1-forms is stable. When X is a surface with $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$ and Ω_X^1 is semi-stable, the sheaf B_X^2 of exact 2-forms is also stable. Moreover, under the same condition, the sheaf Z_X^1 of closed 1-forms is stable when $p > 3$, and Z_X^1 is semi-stable when $p = 3$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth projective variety over an algebraically closed field k with $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$. Let $F : X \rightarrow X_1 := X \times_k k$ denote the relative Frobenius morphism over k . In the de Rham complex of X , the subsheaf $B_X^i = \text{image}(d : F_*\Omega_X^{i-1} \rightarrow F_*\Omega_X^i)$ (resp. $Z_X^i = \text{kernel}(d : F_*\Omega_X^i \rightarrow F_*\Omega_X^{i+1})$) of $F_*\Omega_X^i$ is called the sheaf of locally exact i -forms (resp. locally closed i -forms). Fix an ample divisor H on X , the slope of a torsion free sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ is $\mu(\mathcal{E}) := c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cdot H^{n-1} / \text{rk}(\mathcal{E})$, where $\dim(X) = n$ and $\text{rk}(\mathcal{E})$ denotes the rank of $\mathcal{E}$. A torsion free sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ is called semi-stable (resp. stable) if $\mu(\mathcal{E}') \leq \mu(\mathcal{E})$ (resp. $\mu(\mathcal{E}') < \mu(\mathcal{E})$) for any nontrivial proper sub-sheaf $\mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{E}$. In this notes, we prove some observations about stability of B_X^i and Z_X^i .

When X is a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$, the semi-stability of B_X^1 is proved in [4], and its stability is proved in [1]. When X is a smooth projective surface with semi-stable Ω_X^1 and $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$, the semi-stability of B_X^1 and B_X^2 is proved in [2]. But it is not known whether Z_X^1 is semi-stable (cf. [2, Remark 3.4]).

We show firstly that the sheaf B_X^1 of local exact differential 1-forms on X is stable if $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$ and $T^\ell(\Omega_X^1)$ ($0 < \ell < n(p-1)$) are semi-stable. For surfaces, the semi-stability of Ω_X^1 implies semi-stability of $T^\ell(\Omega_X^1)$ ($0 < \ell < 2(p-1)$). Thus our result is a generalization of the

Date: April 23, 2009.

Partially supported by NSFC (No. 10731030) and Key Laboratory of Mathematics Mechanization (KLMM).

results in [1] and [2]. Then we show secondly that B_X^2 and Z_X^1 are stable when X is a smooth projective surface with semi-stable Ω_X^1 and $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$. It solves in particular the open problem in [2].

2. THE STABILITY OF B_X^1

Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n . To study the stability of B_X^1 , we recall from [5] that there is a filtration

$$(2.1) \quad 0 = V_{n(p-1)+1} \subset V_{n(p-1)} \subset \cdots \subset V_1 \subset V_0 = V = F^*(F_*W)$$

with injective homomorphisms $\nabla : V_\ell/V_{\ell+1} \rightarrow V_{\ell-1}/V_\ell \otimes \Omega_X^1$ such that

$$\nabla^\ell : V_\ell/V_{\ell+1} \cong W \otimes T^\ell(\Omega_X^1), \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq n(p-1).$$

Let $\mathcal{E} \subset F_*W$ be a nontrivial subsheaf, the canonical filtration (2.1) induces the filtration (we assume $V_m \cap F^*\mathcal{E} \neq 0$)

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \subset V_m \cap F^*\mathcal{E} \subset \cdots \subset V_1 \cap F^*\mathcal{E} \subset V_0 \cap F^*\mathcal{E} = F^*\mathcal{E}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{F}_\ell := \frac{V_\ell \cap F^*\mathcal{E}}{V_{\ell+1} \cap F^*\mathcal{E}} \subset \frac{V_\ell}{V_{\ell+1}}, \quad r_\ell = \text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_\ell).$$

Then

$$\mu(F^*\mathcal{E}) = \frac{1}{\text{rk}(\mathcal{E})} \sum_{\ell=0}^m r_\ell \cdot \mu(\mathcal{F}_\ell)$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \mu(\mathcal{E}) - \mu(F_*W) = \frac{1}{p \cdot \text{rk}(\mathcal{E})} \sum_{\ell=0}^m r_\ell (\mu(\mathcal{F}_\ell) - \mu(F^*F_*W)).$$

By using the following formula (cf. Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 in [5])

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(F^*F_*W) &= p \cdot \mu(F_*W) = \frac{p-1}{2} K_X \cdot H^{n-1} + \mu(W), \\ \mu(V_\ell/V_{\ell+1}) &= \mu(W \otimes T^\ell(\Omega_X^1)) = \frac{\ell}{n} K_X \cdot H^{n-1} + \mu(W). \end{aligned}$$

we can formulate the following lemma

Lemma 2.1. *The morphisms $\nabla : V_\ell/V_{\ell+1} \rightarrow V_{\ell-1}/V_\ell \otimes \Omega_X^1$ induce injective morphisms $\nabla : \mathcal{F}_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\ell-1} \otimes \Omega_X^1$. Moreover, we have*

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(\mathcal{E}) - \mu(F_*W) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^m r_\ell \frac{\mu(\mathcal{F}_\ell) - \mu(\frac{V_\ell}{V_{\ell+1}})}{p \cdot \text{rk}(\mathcal{E})} \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p \cdot \text{rk}(\mathcal{E})} \sum_{\ell=0}^m \left(\frac{n(p-1)}{2} - \ell \right) r_\ell \end{aligned}$$

When $m \leq \frac{n(p-1)}{2}$, it is clear that

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{\ell=0}^m \left(\frac{n(p-1)}{2} - \ell \right) r_\ell \geq \frac{n(p-1)}{2} r_0 \geq \frac{n(p-1)}{2}.$$

When $m > \frac{n(p-1)}{2}$, we can write

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=0}^m \left(\frac{n(p-1)}{2} - \ell \right) r_\ell &= \sum_{\ell=m+1}^{n(p-1)} \left(\ell - \frac{n(p-1)}{2} \right) r_{n(p-1)-\ell} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell > \frac{n(p-1)}{2}}^m \left(\ell - \frac{n(p-1)}{2} \right) (r_{n(p-1)-\ell} - r_\ell). \end{aligned}$$

The fact that $V_\ell/V_{\ell+1} \xrightarrow{\nabla} (V_{\ell-1}/V_\ell) \otimes \Omega_X^1$ induce injective morphisms $\mathcal{F}_\ell \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{F}_{\ell-1} \otimes \Omega_X^1$ ($1 \leq \ell \leq m$) implies the following inequalities

$$r_{n(p-1)-\ell} - r_\ell \geq 0 \quad \left(\ell > \frac{n(p-1)}{2} \right)$$

(cf. [5, Proposition 4.7]). Thus we have

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{\ell=0}^m \left(\frac{n(p-1)}{2} - \ell \right) r_\ell \geq \frac{n(p-1)}{2} r_0 \quad \text{when } m \neq n(p-1).$$

Lemma 2.2. *When $m = n(p-1)$, we have*

$$r_\ell \geq r_{n(p-1)} \cdot \text{rk}(\mathbb{T}^{n(p-1)-\ell}(\Omega_X^1))$$

which implies the following inequality

$$\text{rk}(\mathcal{E}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^m r_\ell \geq r_{n(p-1)} \sum_{\ell=0}^m \text{rk}(\mathbb{T}^{n(p-1)-\ell}(\Omega_X^1)) = r_{n(p-1)} \cdot p^n$$

Proof. It is a local problem to prove the lemma. Let $K = K(X)$ be the function field of X and consider the K -algebra

$$R = \frac{K[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]}{(\alpha_1^p, \dots, \alpha_n^p)} = \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{n(p-1)} R^\ell,$$

where R^ℓ is the K -linear space generated by

$$\{ \alpha_1^{k_1} \cdots \alpha_n^{k_n} \mid k_1 + \cdots + k_n = \ell, \quad 0 \leq k_i \leq p-1 \}.$$

The quotients in the filtration (2.1) can be described locally

$$V_\ell/V_{\ell+1} = W \otimes_K R^\ell$$

as K -vector spaces. If $K = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then the homomorphism

$$\nabla : W \otimes_K R^\ell \rightarrow W \otimes_K R^{\ell-1} \otimes_K \Omega_{K/k}^1$$

is locally the k -linear homomorphism (cf. (3.6) in [5]) defined by

$$\nabla(w \otimes \alpha_1^{k_1} \cdots \alpha_n^{k_n}) = -w \otimes \sum_{i=1}^n k_i(\alpha_1^{k_1} \cdots \alpha_i^{k_i-1} \cdots \alpha_n^{k_n}) \otimes_K dx_i.$$

Then the fact that $\mathcal{F}_\ell \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{F}_{\ell-1} \otimes \Omega_X^1$ for $\mathcal{F}_\ell \subset W \otimes R^\ell$ is equivalent to

$$(2.9) \quad \forall \sum_j w_j \otimes f_j \in \mathcal{F}_\ell \Rightarrow \sum_j w_j \otimes \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial \alpha_i} \in \mathcal{F}_{\ell-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

The polynomial ring $P = K[\partial_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \partial_{\alpha_n}]$ acts on R through partial derivations, which induces a D-module structure on R , where

$$D = \frac{K[\partial_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \partial_{\alpha_n}]}{(\partial_{\alpha_1}^p, \dots, \partial_{\alpha_n}^p)} = \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{n(p-1)} D_\ell$$

and D_ℓ is the linear space of degree ℓ homogeneous elements. In particular, $W \otimes R$ has the induced D-module structure with D acts on W trivially. Use this notation, (2.9) is equivalent to $D_1 \cdot \mathcal{F}_\ell \subset \mathcal{F}_{\ell-1}$.

Since $R^{n(p-1)}$ is of dimension 1, for any subspace

$$\mathcal{F}_{n(p-1)} \subset W \otimes R^{n(p-1)},$$

there is a subspace $W' \subset W$ of dimension $r_{n(p-1)}$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}_{n(p-1)} = W' \otimes R^{n(p-1)}.$$

Thus $D_\ell \cdot \mathcal{F}_{n(p-1)} = W' \otimes D_\ell \cdot R^{n(p-1)} = W' \otimes R^{n(p-1)-\ell} \subset \mathcal{F}_{n(p-1)-\ell}$ for all $0 \leq \ell \leq n(p-1)$, which proves the lemma. $\square$

Recall that the sheaf B_X^1 of locally exact differential forms on X is defined by exact sequence

$$(2.10) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow B_X^1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n with $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$ and $\mathcal{L}$ a torsion free sheaf of rank 1 on X . Assume that $T^\ell(\Omega_X^1)$ ($0 < \ell < n(p-1)$) are semi-stable. Then, for any nontrivial subsheaves $\mathcal{E} \subset F_* \mathcal{L}$ and $B' \subset B_X^1$, we have*

$$(2.11) \quad \mu(\mathcal{E}) - \mu(F_* \mathcal{L}) \leq -\frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p \cdot \text{rk}(\mathcal{E})} \cdot \frac{n(p-1)}{2}$$

$$(2.12) \quad \mu(B') - \mu(B_X^1) \leq -\frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p \cdot (p^n - 1)} \cdot \frac{n(p-1)}{2}$$

when $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E}) < \mathrm{rk}(F_*\mathcal{L})$ and $\mathrm{rk}(B') < \mathrm{rk}(B_X^1)$.

Proof. Since $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E}) < \mathrm{rk}(F_*\mathcal{L}) = p^n$, by Lemma 2.2, $m \neq n(p-1)$. On the other hand, when $\mathcal{L}$ is of rank 1, $V_\ell/V_{\ell+1} \cong \mathcal{L} \otimes T^\ell(\Omega_X^1)$ are semi-stable by the assumption. Thus, by (2.5), we have

$$(2.13) \quad \mu(\mathcal{E}) - \mu(F_*\mathcal{L}) \leq -\frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p \cdot \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E})} \sum_{\ell=0}^m \left(\frac{n(p-1)}{2} - \ell \right) r_\ell$$

which implies (2.11) by (2.8) since $m \neq n(p-1)$.

To show (2.12), for $B' \subset B_X^1$ of rank $r < \mathrm{rk}(B_X^1)$, let $\mathcal{E} \subset F_*\mathcal{O}_X$ be the subsheaf of rank $r+1$ such that we have exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow B' \rightarrow 0.$$

Substitute (2.11) to $\mu(B') - \mu(B_X^1) = \frac{r+1}{r} \mu(\mathcal{E}) - \frac{p^n}{p^n-1} \mu(F_*\mathcal{O}_X)$, we have

$$\mu(B') - \mu(B_X^1) \leq \frac{p^n - 1 - r}{r(p^n - 1)} \mu(F_*\mathcal{O}_X) - \frac{n(p-1)}{2rp} \mu(\Omega_X^1).$$

By the formula (2.4), we have $\mu(F_*\mathcal{O}_X) = \frac{n(p-1)}{2p} \mu(\Omega_X^1)$. Thus

$$\mu(B') - \mu(B_X^1) \leq -\frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p \cdot (p^n - 1)} \cdot \frac{n(p-1)}{2}.$$

□

Corollary 2.4. *Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Then, for all proper sub-bundles $\mathcal{E} \subset F_*\mathcal{L}$, $B' \subset B_X^1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\mathcal{E}) - \mu(F_*\mathcal{L}) &\leq -\frac{p - \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E})}{p}(g-1) \\ \mu(B') - \mu(B_X^1) &\leq -\frac{p - 1 - \mathrm{rk}(B')}{p}(g-1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. When $\dim(X) = 1$, $V_\ell/V_{\ell+1} = \mathcal{L} \otimes \omega_X^\ell$ are line bundles and thus $r_\ell = 1$ ($0 \leq \ell \leq m$) in (2.5). Then we can rewrite (2.5):

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}) - \mu(F_*\mathcal{L}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^m \frac{\mu(\mathcal{F}_\ell) - \mu(\frac{V_\ell}{V_{\ell+1}})}{p \cdot \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E})} - \frac{(p - \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E}))(g-1)}{p},$$

which implies the following inequality

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}) - \mu(F_*\mathcal{L}) \leq -\frac{p - \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E})}{p}(g-1)$$

and the equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{F}_\ell = V_\ell/V_{\ell+1}$. Similarly, we have

$$\mu(B') - \mu(B_X^1) \leq -\frac{p - 1 - \mathrm{rk}(B')}{p}(g-1).$$

□

Corollary 2.5. *Let X be a smooth projective surface with $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$. If Ω_X^1 is semi-stable, then for any proper nontrivial $B' \subset B_X^1$*

$$\mu(B') - \mu(B_X^1) \leq -\frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p \cdot (p+1)}$$

Proof. When $\dim(X) = 2$, we have (cf. Proposition 3.5 of [5])

$$T^\ell(\Omega_X^1) = \begin{cases} \text{Sym}^\ell(\Omega_X^1) & \text{when } \ell < p \\ \text{Sym}^{2(p-1)-\ell}(\Omega_X^1) \otimes \omega_X^{\ell-(p-1)} & \text{when } \ell \geq p \end{cases}$$

where $\omega_X = \Omega_X^2 = \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$ is the canonical line bundle of X . Thus $T^\ell(\Omega_X^1)$ are semi-stable whenever Ω_X^1 is semi-stable. Then the corollary follows the theorem. □

Remark 2.6. When X is a curve of genus $g \geq 2$, the stability of B_X^1 was proved by K. Joshi in [1]. When X is a surface with $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$, if Ω_X^1 is semi-stable, Y. Kitadai and H. Sumihiro proved in [2] that B_X^1 is semi-stable.

3. THE STABILITY OF B_X^2 AND Z_X^1

Let X be a smooth projective surface with $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$. When Ω_X^1 is semi-stable, Y. Kitadai and H. Sumihiro proved in [2] that B_X^1 and B_X^2 are semi-stable, but it is left open whether Z_X^1 is semi-stable or not (cf. [2, Remark 3.4]). In this section, we consider the stability of B_X^2 and Z_X^1 .

Recall the definition of B_X^i , Z_X^1 , consider de Rham complex of X :

$$F_*\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{d_1} F_*\Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{d_2} F_*\Omega_X^2 = F_*\omega_X$$

the vector bundles B_X^i ($i = 1, 2$) and Z_X^1 are defined by

$$B_X^i := \text{image} (F_*\Omega_X^{i-1} \xrightarrow{d_i} F_*\Omega_X^i), \quad Z_X^1 := \text{kernel} (F_*\Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{d_2} F_*\Omega_X^2).$$

By the definition and Cartier isomorphism, these bundles are suited in the following exact sequences

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow B_X^1 \rightarrow 0$$

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow Z_X^1 \rightarrow F_*\Omega_X^1 \rightarrow B_X^2 \rightarrow 0$$

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow B_X^1 \rightarrow Z_X^1 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow 0$$

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow B_X^2 \rightarrow F_*\omega_X \rightarrow \omega_X \rightarrow 0$$

where $\omega_X = \Omega_X^2 = \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a smooth projective surface with $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$. If Ω_X^1 is semistable, then, for any subsheaf $B' \subset B_X^2$, we have*

$$(3.5) \quad \mu(B') - \mu(B_X^2) \leq -\frac{\mathrm{rk}(B_X^2) - \mathrm{rk}(B')}{p(p+1)\mathrm{rk}(B')} \mu(\Omega_X^1).$$

In particular, B_X^2 is stable.

Proof. For $B' \subset B_X^2$, by exact sequence (3.4) and Theorem 2.3,

$$(3.6) \quad \mu(B') \leq \mu(F_*\omega_X) - \frac{p-1}{p\mathrm{rk}(B')} \mu(\Omega_X^1).$$

By the formula (2.4) and the exact sequence (3.4), we have

$$(3.7) \quad \mu(F_*\omega_X) = \frac{p+1}{p} \mu(\Omega_X^1), \quad \mu(B_X^2) = \frac{p+2}{p+1} \mu(\Omega_X^1).$$

Substitute (3.7) to (3.6), we have the inequality (3.5). $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a smooth projective surface with $\mu(\Omega_X^1) > 0$. Then, when Ω_X^1 is semistable, the sheaf Z_X^1 of locally closed 1-forms is stable when $p > 3$. Z_X^1 is semistable when $p = 3$.*

Proof. For $B \subset Z_X^1$ with $\mathrm{rk}(B) < \mathrm{rk}(Z_X^1)$, by (3.3), there are subsheaves $B' \subset B_X^1$, $B'' \subset \Omega_X^1$ satisfy the exact sequence

$$(3.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow B' \rightarrow B \rightarrow B'' \rightarrow 0.$$

If $B'' = 0$, $\mu(B) = \mu(B') \leq \mu(B_X^1) < \mu(Z_X^1)$. Thus we can assume $B'' \neq 0$. If $\mathrm{rk}(B') = \mathrm{rk}(B_X^1)$, then $\mathrm{rk}(B'') = 1$ and

$$\mu(B) - \mu(Z_X^1) \leq -\frac{p-1}{p^2(p^2+1)} \mu(\Omega_X^1).$$

Thus we can assume $\mathrm{rk}(B') < \mathrm{rk}(B_X^1)$ if $B' \neq 0$. We complete the proof in the following two lemmas, which deal with the cases $B' \neq 0$ and $B' = 0$ respectively. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *For $B \subset Z_X^1$ with $\mathrm{rk}(B) < \mathrm{rk}(Z_X^1)$, assume that B' defined in (3.8) is nontrivial with $\mathrm{rk}(B') < \mathrm{rk}(B_X^1)$. Then, when $p > 3$,*

$$\mu(B) - \mu(Z_X^1) \leq -\frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p(p^2+1)\mathrm{rk}(B)}$$

and, when $p = 3$, $\mu(B) - \mu(Z_X^1) \leq 0$.

Proof. Since $B' \neq 0$ with $\mathrm{rk}(B') < \mathrm{rk}(B_X^1)$, by (3.1), there is a subsheaf $\mathcal{E} \subset F_*\mathcal{O}_X$ with $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E}) < p^2$ satisfying the exact sequence

$$(3.9) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow B' \rightarrow 0.$$

The canonical filtration $0 \subset V_{2p-2} \subset \cdots \subset V_1 \subset V_0 = F^*F_*\mathcal{O}_X$ induces

$$0 \subset V_m \cap F^*\mathcal{E} \subset \cdots \subset V_1 \cap F^*\mathcal{E} \subset V_0 \cap F^*\mathcal{E} = F^*\mathcal{E}$$

where m is the maximal number such that $V_m \cap F^*\mathcal{E} \neq 0$. Let

$$\mathcal{F}_\ell := \frac{V_\ell \cap F^*\mathcal{E}}{V_{\ell+1} \cap F^*\mathcal{E}} \subset \frac{V_\ell}{V_{\ell+1}}, \quad r_\ell = \text{rk}(\mathcal{F}_\ell).$$

When $\dim(X) = 2$, we have (cf. Proposition 3.5 of [5])

$$T^\ell(\Omega_X^1) = \begin{cases} \text{Sym}^\ell(\Omega_X^1) & \text{when } \ell < p \\ \text{Sym}^{2(p-1)-\ell}(\Omega_X^1) \otimes \omega_X^{\ell-(p-1)} & \text{when } \ell \geq p \end{cases}$$

where $\omega_X = \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$ is the canonical line bundle of X . Thus $V_\ell/V_{\ell+1}$ are semi-stable whenever Ω_X^1 is semi-stable. Then, by Lemma 2.1,

$$(3.10) \quad \mu(\mathcal{E}) - \mu(F_*\mathcal{O}_X) \leq -\frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p \cdot \text{rk}(\mathcal{E})} \sum_{\ell=0}^m (p-1-\ell)r_\ell$$

where, by Lemma 2.2, we have $m < 2p-2$ since $\text{rk}(\mathcal{E}) < p^2$. Notice that $r_0 = 1$, then (3.10) implies

$$(3.11) \quad \mu(B') - \mu(B_X^1) \leq -\frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p \text{rk}(B')} \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^m (p-1-\ell)r_\ell + \frac{\text{rk}(B')}{p+1} \right).$$

By (3.1), (3.3) and (3.8), we have $\mu(B_X^1) = \mu(Z_X^1) - \frac{2}{(p+1)(p^2+1)}\mu(\Omega_X^1)$,

$$(3.12) \quad \mu(B) = \frac{\text{rk}(B')}{\text{rk}(B)}\mu(B') + \frac{\text{rk}(B'')}{\text{rk}(B)}\mu(B'').$$

Substitute (3.11) and $\mu(B'') \leq \mu(\Omega_X^1)$ to (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(B) &\leq \frac{\text{rk}(B')}{\text{rk}(B)} \left(\mu(B_X^1) - \frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p \text{rk}(B')} (\Sigma_m + \frac{\text{rk}(B')}{p+1}) \right) + \frac{\text{rk}(B'')}{\text{rk}(B)} \mu(\Omega_X^1) \\ &= \frac{\text{rk}(B')}{\text{rk}(B)} \mu(B_X^1) - \frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p \text{rk}(B)} \Sigma_m + \left(\text{rk}(B'') - \frac{\text{rk}(B')}{p(p+1)} \right) \frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{\text{rk}(B)} \\ &= \mu(Z_X^1) - \frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{p(p^2+1)\text{rk}(B)} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (p^2+1)\Sigma_m + (p+1)\text{rk}(B') \\ -(p^2-p)\text{rk}(B'') \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\Sigma_m := \sum_{\ell=1}^m (p-1-\ell)r_\ell \geq 0$ since $m < 2p-2$. Let

$$N := (p^2+1)\Sigma_m + (p+1)\text{rk}(B') - (p^2-p)\text{rk}(B'')$$

To prove the lemma, it is enough to prove the claim that $N > 0$ when $p > 3$, and $N \geq 0$ when $p = 3$. If $\Sigma_m \geq 2$, the claim is clear since

$\mathrm{rk}(B'') \leq \mathrm{rk}(\Omega_X^1) = 2$. Thus we can assume that $\Sigma_m \leq 1$. To prove the claim, we also remark that $m \geq 1$ since $r_0 = 1$ and

$$(3.13) \quad \sum_{\ell=0}^m r_\ell = \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathrm{rk}(B') + 1 \geq 2.$$

If $m \leq p-1$, then $\Sigma_m \geq (p-2)r_1$. The condition $\Sigma_m \leq 1$ implies that $p = 3$ and $\Sigma_m = 1$. Thus $N = 10 + 4\mathrm{rk}(B') - 6\mathrm{rk}(B'') > 0$.

If $m > p-1$, we show firstly that the condition $\Sigma_m \leq 1$ implies $m+1 > 2p-3$. In fact, it is clear when $p = 3$. To show it for the case $p > 3$, by the formula (2.7), we can write

$$\Sigma_m = \sum_{\ell=m+1}^{2p-3} (\ell - p + 1)r_{2p-2-\ell} + \sum_{\ell > p-1}^m (\ell - p + 1)(r_{2p-2-\ell} - r_\ell)$$

where $r_{2p-2-\ell} \geq r_\ell$ ($\ell > p-1$). Thus, if $m+1 \leq 2p-3$, we have the following contradiction

$$\Sigma_m \geq (m-p)r_{2p-2-(m+1)} > r_{2p-2-(m+1)} \geq 1$$

where we remark that all of $r_\ell = \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F}_\ell)$ ($0 \leq \ell \leq m$) are non-zero since the existence of injections $\mathcal{F}_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\ell-1} \otimes \Omega_X^1$ (cf. Lemma 2.1) and $r_m \neq 0$ (by definition). Then the fact that $m+1 > 2p-3$ implies

$$\mathrm{rk}(B') = \sum_{\ell=1}^m r_\ell \geq m \geq 2p-3.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} N &\geq (p+1)(2p-3) - (p^2-p)\mathrm{rk}(B'') \\ &= (2-\mathrm{rk}(B''))p^2 + (\mathrm{rk}(B'')-1)p-3 \end{aligned}$$

which is positive when $p > 3$, and non-negative when $p = 3$. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *If $B' = 0$ and $p > 2$, then we have*

$$\mu(B) - \mu(Z_X^1) < 0.$$

Proof. When $B' = 0$, $B = B''$ has rank at most 2. By (3.2), we consider $B \subset F_*\Omega_X^1$ and the canonical filtration

$$0 = V_{2p+1} \subset V_{2p-2} \subset \cdots \subset V_1 \subset V_0 = F^*F_*\Omega_X^1.$$

If $V_1 \cap F^*B = 0$, then $F^*B \subset V_0/V_1 = \Omega_X^1$. By $\mu(F^*B) \leq \mu(\Omega_X^1)$ and

$$\mu(Z_X^1) = \frac{p^2 - p + 2}{p^2 + 1} \mu(\Omega_X^1)$$

we have

$$\mu(B) - \mu(Z_X^1) \leq -\frac{(p^2 - p + 1)(p - 1)}{p(p^2 + 1)}\mu(\Omega_X^1).$$

If $V_1 \cap F^*B \neq 0$, then $\text{rk}(B) = 2$, $V_2 \cap F^*B = 0$ and

$$\mathcal{F}_1 := \frac{V_1 \cap F^*B}{V_2 \cap F^*B} \subset \frac{V_1}{V_2}, \quad \mathcal{F}_0 := \frac{V_0 \cap F^*B}{V_1 \cap F^*B} \subset \frac{V_0}{V_1}$$

are subsheaves of rank 1. On the other hand, by a theorem of Ilangoan-Mehta-Parameswaran (cf. Section 6 of [3] for the precise statement): If E_1, E_2 are semi-stable bundles with $\text{rk}(E_1) + \text{rk}(E_2) \leq p + 1$, then $E_1 \otimes E_2$ is semi-stable. We see that

$$V_1/V_2 = \Omega_X^1 \otimes \Omega_X^1, \quad V_0/V_1 = \Omega_X^1$$

are semi-stable since $p > 2$. Thus

$$(3.14) \quad \mu(B) = \frac{\mu(\mathcal{F}_0) + \mu(\mathcal{F}_1)}{2p} \leq \frac{\mu(\Omega_X^1) + \mu(\Omega_X^1 \otimes \Omega_X^1)}{2p}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(B) - \mu(Z_X^1) &= \mu(B) - \frac{p^2 - p + 2}{p^2 + 1}\mu(\Omega_X^1) \\ &\leq \frac{3\mu(\Omega_X^1)}{2p} - \frac{p^2 - p + 2}{p^2 + 1}\mu(\Omega_X^1) \\ &= -\frac{p^2(2p - 5) + 4p - 3}{2p(p^2 + 1)}\mu(\Omega_X^1). \end{aligned}$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Joshi, K.: Stability and locally exact differentials on a curve, *Comptes rendus. Mathématique*, **338** (2004), no. 11, 869–872
- [2] Kitadai, Y., Sumihiro, H.: Canonical filtrations and stability of direct images by Frobenius morphisms II, *Hiroshima Math. J.* vol. **38** (2008), no. 2, 243–261
- [3] Langer, A.: Semistable sheaves in positive characteristic, *Ann. of Math.* **159** (2004), 251–276
- [4] Raynaud, M.: Sections des fibrés vectoriels sur une courbe, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **110** (1982), no. 1, 103–125
- [5] Sun, X. : Direct images of bundles under Frobenius morphisms. *Invent. Math.* **173** (2008), no. 2, 427–447

ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF
SCIENCE, BEIJING, P. R. OF CHINA
E-mail address: xsun@math.ac.cn